

MATH 247 Calculus III, Final Definitions and Theorems

Proposition (9.4). $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\vec{a} \in \text{int}(A)$. Let $\vec{v} \neq \vec{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that $(\partial_{\vec{v}}f)(\vec{a})$ exists. Then for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the directional derivative $(\partial_{\alpha\vec{v}}f)(\vec{a})$, and

$$(\partial_{\alpha\vec{v}}f)(\vec{a}) = \alpha(\partial_{\vec{v}}f)(\vec{a})$$

Proof. If $\alpha = 0$, then the equation becomes $0 = 0$.

Assume $\alpha \neq 0$. Denote $\alpha\vec{v} = \vec{w}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\vec{a} + t\vec{w}) - f(\vec{a})}{t} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\vec{a} + t\alpha\vec{v}) - f(\vec{a})}{t\alpha} \alpha \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\vec{a} + s\vec{v}) - f(\vec{a})}{s} \alpha \\ &= (\partial_{\vec{v}}f)(\vec{a}) \cdot \alpha \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition (9.8). $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\vec{a} \in \text{int}(A)$. Suppose $(\partial_{\vec{v}}f)(\vec{a})$ exists for all $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and that we have (Add) property. Then for every $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$(\partial_{\vec{v}}f)(\vec{a}) = \langle \vec{v}, (\nabla f)(\vec{a}) \rangle$$

Proof. Fix $\vec{v} = (v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(n)}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and write $\vec{v} = v^{(1)}\vec{e}_1 + \dots + v^{(n)}\vec{e}_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_{\vec{v}}f)(\vec{a}) &= (\partial_{v^{(1)}\vec{e}_1 + \dots + v^{(n)}\vec{e}_n}f)(\vec{a}) \\ &= (\partial_{v^{(1)}\vec{e}_1}f)(\vec{a}) + \dots + (\partial_{v^{(n)}\vec{e}_n}f)(\vec{a}) \\ &= v^{(1)}(\partial_1f)(\vec{a}) + \dots + v^{(n)}(\partial_nf)(\vec{a}) \\ &= \langle \vec{v}, (\nabla f)(\vec{a}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition (10.2). $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\vec{a} \in \text{int}(A)$, $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and suppose that $(\partial_{\vec{v}}f)(\vec{a})$ exists. If \vec{a} is a point of local extremum for f , then $(\partial_{\vec{v}}f)(\vec{a}) = 0$.

Proof. Assume that \vec{a} is a local minimum. Let $r > 0$ be such that $B(\vec{a}, r) \subseteq A$ and $f(\vec{a}) \leq f(\vec{x})$ for all $\vec{x} \in B(\vec{a}, r)$. Let $c = \frac{r}{1+\|\vec{v}\|}$. Then have $\vec{a} + t\vec{v} \in B(\vec{a}, r)$, $\forall t \in (-c, c)$. Define $h: (-c, c) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$h(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v})$$

For every $t \in I$, we have $h(t) = f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v}) \geq f(\vec{a}) = h(0)$. Hence 0 is a point of minimum for h on I .

Then we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(t) - h(0)}{t - 0} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v}) - f(\vec{a})}{t} = (\partial_{\vec{v}}f)(\vec{a})$$

Since $(\partial_{\vec{v}}f)(\vec{a})$ exists, then the left hand side must exist as well. Then $h'(0) = (\partial_{\vec{v}}f)(\vec{a})$. Since $h'(0)$ exists and 0 is a point of minimum, then $h'(0) = 0$. So $(\partial_{\vec{v}}f)(\vec{a}) = 0$, as required. □

Theorem (10.5). $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ open. $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. $\vec{v} \neq \vec{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that $(\partial_{\vec{v}}f)(\vec{a})$ exists at every $\vec{a} \in A$. Let $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in A$ be such that $\text{Co}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) \subseteq A$ and such that $\vec{y} - \vec{x} = \alpha\vec{v}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\exists \vec{b} \in \text{Co}(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ such that

$$f(\vec{y}) - f(\vec{x}) = \alpha \cdot (\partial_{\vec{v}}f)(\vec{b}) = (\partial_{\alpha\vec{v}}f)(\vec{b})$$

Proof. Will assume $\alpha \neq 0$. Define $\varphi(t) = f((1-t)\vec{x} + t\vec{y})$. Idea is to use MVT from calculus I on φ .

Claim 1.

(a). $\varphi(0) = f(\vec{x})$, $\varphi(1) = f(\vec{y})$.

(b). For every $t \in [0, 1]$, φ is differentiable at t with $\varphi'(t) = \alpha(\partial_{\vec{v}}f)((1-t)\vec{x} + t\vec{y})$.

Verif of Claim 1.

(a)

(b) Fix $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ where we check the differentiability of φ . Denote $(1-t_0)\vec{x} + t_0\vec{y} = \vec{a} \in A$. Look at the newton quotient $\frac{\varphi(t_0+h) - \varphi(t_0)}{h}$, with $h \neq 0$ such that $t_0 + h \in [0, 1]$. Then we have $\varphi(t_0) = f(\vec{a})$. $\varphi(t_0 + h) = f(\vec{a} - h\vec{x} + h\vec{y}) = f(\vec{a} + h\alpha\vec{v})$. So

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(t_0 + h) - \varphi(t_0)}{h}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\vec{a} + h\alpha\vec{v}) - f(\vec{a})}{h\alpha} \cdot \alpha \\
&= \alpha \cdot (\partial_{\vec{v}} f)(\vec{a})
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\varphi'(t_0)$ exists and has the claimed formula.

Claim 2. $\exists c \in (0, 1)$ such that $\varphi(1) - \varphi(0) = \varphi'(c)$.

Verif of Claim 2.

φ is continuous at every $t \in [0, 1]$, since φ is differentiable at every $t \in [0, 1]$.

Claim 3. $\exists \vec{b} \in \text{Co}(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ such that $f(\vec{y}) - f(\vec{x}) = \alpha \cdot (\partial_{\vec{v}} f)(\vec{b})$.

Verif of claim 3.

Take $c \in (0, 1)$ as in claim 2. put $\vec{b} = (1-c)\vec{x} + c\vec{y}$. Then $f(\vec{y}) - f(\vec{x}) = \varphi(1) - \varphi(0) = \varphi'(c) = \alpha \cdot (\partial_{\vec{v}} f)(\vec{b})$. \square

Theorem (11.3). $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $f \in C^1(A, \mathbb{R})$. Then for every $\vec{a} \in A$ we have

$$(\text{L-Approx}) \quad \lim_{\vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{a}} \frac{|f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{a}) - \langle \vec{x} - \vec{a}, (\nabla f)(\vec{a}) \rangle|}{\|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\|} = 0$$

Corollary (11.4). $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $f \in C^1(A, \mathbb{R})$, $\vec{a} \in A$. Then for every $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the directional derivative $(\partial_{\vec{v}} f)(\vec{a})$ exists, and have $(\partial_{\vec{v}} f)(\vec{a}) = \langle \vec{v}, (\nabla f)(\vec{a}) \rangle$.

Proof. In (L-Approx) we pick \vec{x} of the form $\vec{a} + t\vec{v}$. Then $\vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{a}$ becomes $t \rightarrow 0$.

Then multiply the limit by $\|\vec{v}\|$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v}) - f(\vec{a}) - \langle (\vec{a} + t\vec{v}) - \vec{a}, (\nabla f)(\vec{a}) \rangle|}{\|\vec{a} + t\vec{v} - \vec{a}\|} \cdot \|\vec{v}\| = 0 \|\vec{v}\| = 0 \\
&\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v}) - f(\vec{a}) - t\langle \vec{v}, (\nabla f)(\vec{a}) \rangle}{t} \right| = 0 \\
&\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v}) - f(\vec{a})}{t} - \langle \vec{v}, (\nabla f)(\vec{a}) \rangle \right| = 0 \\
&\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v}) - f(\vec{a})}{t} = \langle \vec{v}, (\nabla f)(\vec{a}) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

\square

Corollary (11.5). $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $f \in C^1(A, \mathbb{R})$, $\vec{a} \in A$. The directional derivatives at \vec{a} have (Add) property.

Lemma (11.6). $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $f \in C^1(A, \mathbb{R})$, $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}$. Pick $r > 0$ such that $B(\vec{a}, r) \subseteq A$. Then for every $\vec{x} \in B(\vec{a}, r)$ we can find $\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n \in B(\vec{a}, r)$ such that $f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{a}) = \langle \vec{x} - \vec{a}, \vec{w} \rangle$ with $\vec{w} = ((\partial_1 f)(\vec{b}_1), \dots, (\partial_n f)(\vec{b}_n))$.

Proof. Fix $\vec{x} \in B(\vec{a}, r)$. Consider vectors $\vec{x}_0, \vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n$, defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{x}_0 &= \vec{a} = (a^{(1)}, \dots, a^{(n)}) \\
\vec{x}_1 &= \vec{a} = (x^{(1)}, \dots, a^{(n)}) \\
\vec{x}_2 &= \vec{a} = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, a^{(n)}) \\
&\dots \\
\vec{x}_n &= \vec{a} = (x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}) = \vec{x}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have $\|\vec{x}_i - \vec{a}\| \leq \|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\| < r$. Hence $\vec{x}_0, \vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n \in B(\vec{a}, r) \subseteq A$.

Claim for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ there exists $\vec{b}_i \in \text{Co}(\vec{x}_{i-1}, \vec{x}_i)$ such that

$$f(\vec{x}_i) - f(\vec{x}_{i-1}) = (x^{(i)} - a^{(i)})(\partial_i f)(\vec{b}_i)$$

Verification of the claim.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_{i-1} \\
&= (x^{(i)} - a^{(i)}) \cdot \vec{e}_i \\
&= \alpha \vec{e}_i
\end{aligned}$$

Apply MVT in direction \vec{e}_i with endpoints \vec{x}_{i-1} and \vec{x}_i , then $\exists \vec{b}_i \in \text{Co}(\vec{x}_{i-1}, \vec{x}_i)$ such that $f(\vec{x}_i) - f(\vec{x}_{i-1}) = (x^{(i)} - a^{(i)})(\partial_i f)(\vec{b}_i)$. Done with claim.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{a}) &= f(\vec{x}_m) - f(\vec{x}_0) \\
&= f(\vec{x}_m) - f(\vec{x}_{m-1}) + \cdots + f(\vec{x}_1) - f(\vec{x}_0) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m f(\vec{x}_i) - f(\vec{x}_{i-1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m (x^{(i)} - a^{(i)}) (\partial_i f)(\vec{b}_i) \\
&= \langle \vec{x} - \vec{a}, \vec{w} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{w} = ((\partial_1 f)(\vec{b}_1), \dots, (\partial_n f)(\vec{b}_n))$. □

Proof of Theorem 11.3. Given $\epsilon > 0$, we want to find $\delta > 0$ such that $B(\vec{a}, \delta) \subseteq A$ and such that

$$(\text{Want}) \quad \frac{|f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{a}) - \langle \vec{x} - \vec{a}, (\nabla f)(\vec{a}) \rangle|}{\|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\|} < \epsilon$$

for all $\vec{x} \in B(\vec{a}, \delta) \setminus \{\vec{a}\}$.

Fix $r_0 > 0$ such that $B(\vec{a}, r_0) \subseteq A$. For every $1 \leq i \leq n$, we know that $\partial_i f$ is continuous at \vec{a} hence $\exists 0 \leq r_i \leq r_0$ such that for all $\vec{y} \in B(\vec{a}, r_i)$ we have

$$|(\partial_i f)(\vec{y}) - (\partial_i f)(\vec{a})| < \frac{\epsilon}{n}$$

Put $\delta = \min(r_1, \dots, r_n)$. Claim δ is good for (Want).

Verification of claim. Pick $\vec{x} \in B(\vec{a}, \delta) \setminus \{\vec{a}\}$ for which we prove that

$$(\text{Want}') \quad |f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{a}) - \langle \vec{x} - \vec{a}, (\nabla f)(\vec{a}) \rangle| < \epsilon \|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\|.$$

Lemma 11.6 gives us points $\vec{b}_1, \dots, \vec{b}_n \in B(\vec{a}, \delta)$ such that

$$f(\vec{x} - \vec{a}) = \langle \vec{x} - \vec{a}, \vec{w} \rangle$$

where $\vec{w} = ((\partial_1 f)(\vec{b}_1), \dots, (\partial_n f)(\vec{b}_n))$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&|f(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{a}) - \langle \vec{x} - \vec{a}, (\nabla f)(\vec{a}) \rangle| \\
&= |\langle \vec{x} - \vec{a}, \vec{w} \rangle - \langle \vec{x} - \vec{a}, (\nabla f)(\vec{a}) \rangle| \\
&= |\langle \vec{x} - \vec{a}, \vec{w} - (\nabla f)(\vec{a}) \rangle| \\
&\leq \|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\| \cdot \|\vec{w} - (\nabla f)(\vec{a})\| \\
&\leq \|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\| \cdot \|\vec{w} - (\nabla f)(\vec{a})\|_1 \\
&= \|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\| \cdot \sum_{i=1}^m |(\partial_i f)(\vec{b}_i) - (\partial_i f)(\vec{a})| \\
&< \|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\| \cdot \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\epsilon}{n} \\
&= \epsilon \cdot \|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\|
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem (13.2). $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $f \in C^1(A, \mathbb{R})$. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a differentiable path such that $\gamma(t) \in A$ for all $t \in I$. Define $u: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $u(t) = f(\gamma(t))$. Then u is differentiable with

$$u'(t) = \langle (\nabla f)(\gamma(t)), \gamma'(t) \rangle$$

Proof. Fix $t_0 \in I$ for which we will prove that the Chain Rule holds. So we need

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \frac{u(t) - u(t_0)}{t - t_0} = \langle (\nabla f)(\gamma(t_0)), \gamma'(t_0) \rangle$$

We will do this limit by sequence. Let $(t_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ in I such that $t_k \rightarrow t_0$. Will show that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(t_k) - u(t_0)}{t_k - t_0} = \langle (\nabla f)(\gamma(t_0)), \gamma'(t_0) \rangle$$

Denote $\gamma(t_0) = \vec{a} \in A$, $\gamma(t_k) = \vec{t}_k \in A, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(\vec{x}_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in A .

Claim 1. We have $\vec{x}_k \rightarrow \vec{a}$, and moreover that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t_k - t_0} (\vec{x}_k - \vec{a}) = \gamma'(t_0)$$

Verif of Claim 1. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\vec{x}_k = \gamma(t_k) = (\gamma^{(1)}(t_k), \dots, \gamma^{(n)}(t_k))$$

where $\gamma^{(1)}, \dots, \gamma^{(n)}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable, hence continuous.

When $k \rightarrow \infty$, get $\gamma^{(i)}(t_k) \rightarrow \gamma^{(i)}(t_0)$. So $\vec{x}_k \rightarrow (\gamma^{(1)}(t_0), \dots, \gamma^{(n)}(t_0))$. Hence $\vec{x}_k \rightarrow \vec{a}$ as needed.

Moreover,

$$\frac{1}{t_k - t_0} (\vec{x}_k - \vec{a}) = \left(\frac{\gamma^{(1)}(t_k) - \gamma^{(1)}(t_0)}{t_k - t_0}, \dots, \frac{\gamma^{(n)}(t_k) - \gamma^{(n)}(t_0)}{t_k - t_0} \right) \rightarrow ((\gamma^{(1)})'(t_0), \dots, (\gamma^{(n)})'(t_0)) = \gamma'(t_0)$$

Claim 2. Pick $r > 0$ such that $B(\vec{a}, r) \subseteq A$, and pick $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\vec{x}_k \in B(\vec{a}, r)$ for all $k \geq k_0$. Then for every $k \geq k_0$ have $\text{Co}(\vec{a}, \vec{x}_k) \subseteq A$, and we can find $\vec{b}_k \in \text{Co}(\vec{a}, \vec{x}_k)$ such that

$$\frac{u(t_k) - u(t_0)}{t_k - t_0} = \langle (\nabla f)(\vec{b}_k), \frac{1}{t_k - t_0} (\vec{x}_k - \vec{a}) \rangle$$

Verif of Claim 2. Application of MVT.

Claim 3. Let $(\vec{b}_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ be as in Claim 2. Then $\vec{b}_k \rightarrow \vec{a}$, and therefore $(\nabla f)(\vec{b}_k) \rightarrow (\nabla f)(\vec{a})$.

Verif of Claim 3. For every $k \geq k_0$ we have $\vec{b}_k \in \text{Co}(\vec{a}, \vec{x}_k)$, have $\|\vec{b}_k - \vec{a}\| \leq \|\vec{x}_k - \vec{a}\|$. By squeeze theorem $\vec{b}_k \rightarrow \vec{a}$. Then for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ get $(\partial_i f)(\vec{b}_k) \rightarrow (\partial_i f)(\vec{a})$ because $\partial_i f$ is continuous on A . Then $(\nabla f)(\vec{b}_k) \rightarrow (\nabla f)(\vec{a})$.

Claim 4. We have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(t_k) - u(t_0)}{t_k - t_0} = \langle (\nabla f)(\gamma(t_0)), \gamma'(t_0) \rangle$$

Verif of Claim 4. Have $(\nabla f)(\vec{b}_k) \rightarrow (\nabla f)(\vec{a})$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t_k - t_0} (\vec{x}_k - \vec{a}) = \gamma'(t_0)$$

So

$$\langle (\nabla f)(\vec{b}_k), \frac{1}{t_k - t_0} (\vec{x}_k - \vec{a}) \rangle \rightarrow \langle (\nabla f)(\vec{a}), \gamma'(t) \rangle$$

Since

$$\frac{u(t_k) - u(t_0)}{t_k - t_0} = \langle (\nabla f)(\vec{b}_k), \frac{1}{t_k - t_0} (\vec{x}_k - \vec{a}) \rangle$$

, then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(t_k) - u(t_0)}{t_k - t_0} = \langle (\nabla f)(\gamma(t_0)), \gamma'(t_0) \rangle$$

□

Proposition (16.4). $A \neq \emptyset$ in \mathcal{M}_n . Let Δ' and Δ'' be two divisions of A . Then exists division Γ of A such that $\Gamma \prec \Delta'$ and $\Gamma \prec \Delta''$.

Proof. Write $\Delta' = \{A'_1, \dots, A'_r\}$, $\Delta'' = \{A''_1, \dots, A''_s\}$.

Put $\Gamma = \{A'_i \cap A''_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s, A'_i \cup A''_j \neq \emptyset\}$

□

Lemma (16.7). $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$, $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded. Let Δ, Γ be divisions of A such that $\Gamma \prec \Delta$. Then we have $U(f, \Gamma) \leq U(f, \Delta)$ and $L(f, \Gamma) \geq L(f, \Delta)$.

Proof. Will prove the inequality for upper sums. Let $\Delta = \{A_1, \dots, A_r\}$. $\Gamma = \{B_{1,1}, \dots, B_{1,q_1}, \dots, B_{r,1}, \dots, B_{r,q_r}\}$ where $B_{i,1} \cup \dots \cup B_{i,q_i} = A_i$, $1 \leq i$ leqr.

Then

$$U(f, \Gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \text{Vol}(B_{i,j}) \cdot \sup_{B_{i,j}}(f) \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \text{Vol}(B_{i,j}) \right) \cdot \sup_{A_i}(f) = U(f, \Delta).$$

□

Proposition (17.1). $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$. $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded. The set of real numbers

$$T = \{U(f, \Delta) \mid \Delta \text{ division of } A\}$$

is bounded from below, so has an inf.

The number $\inf(T) \in \mathbb{R}$ is called the upper integral of f on A denoted as $\bar{\int}_A f$ or $\bar{\int}_A f(\vec{x})d\vec{x}$.

The set of real numbers

$$S = \{L(f, \Delta) \mid \Delta \text{ division of } A\}$$

is bounded from above, so has an sup.

The number $\sup(S) \in \mathbb{R}$ is called the lower integral of f on A denoted as $\underline{\int}_A f$ or $\underline{\int}_A f(\vec{x})d\vec{x}$.

One has $\underline{\int}_A f \leq \bar{\int}_A f$

Proof. Fix a division if Δ'' of A then $L(f, \Delta'')$ is a lower bound for $T = \{U(f, \Delta') \mid \Delta' \text{ division of } A\}$. Hence T is bounded below with $\inf(T) \geq L(f, \Delta'')$. □

Theorem (17.3). $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$, $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded. Then TFAE

1. f is integrable on A .
2. for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a division Δ of A such that $U(f, \Delta) - L(f, \Delta) < \epsilon$.
3. There exists a sequence $(\Delta_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ of divisions of A such that $U(f, \Delta_k) - L(f, \Delta_k) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Will prove (1) \rightarrow (2). Others are left as exercises.

Denote $\int_A f = I$. So have $\underline{\int}_A f = I = \bar{\int}_A f$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, we need to find a division Δ of A such that $U(f, \Delta) - L(f, \Delta) < \epsilon$.

The idea is to find Δ' such that $I \leq U(f, \Delta') < I + \epsilon/2$. Find Δ'' such that $I - \epsilon/2 < L(f, \Delta'') \leq I$. Then let $\Delta \prec \Delta'$ and $\Delta \prec \Delta''$. Then we find such Δ . □

Proposition (19.1). Let A be a non-empty set in \mathcal{M}_n , and let f be a function in $\text{Int}_b(A, \mathbb{R})$. Let $B \in \mathcal{M}_n$ be such that $B \supseteq A$, and let $g: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$g(\vec{x}) = \begin{cases} f(\vec{x}) & \text{if } \vec{x} \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } \vec{x} \in B \setminus A. \end{cases}$$

Then $f \in \text{Int}_b(B, \mathbb{R})$ and $\int_B g = \int_A f$.

Corollary (19.2). Suppose that $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n$ such that $A \subseteq B$. Let $I_A: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the indicator function defined by

$$I_A(\vec{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \vec{x} \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } \vec{x} \in B \setminus A \end{cases}$$

Then $I_A \in \text{Int}_b(B, \mathbb{R})$, and $\int_B I_A = \text{vol}(A)$.

Corollary (19.3). Suppose that $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_p \in \mathcal{M}_n$ are non-empty sets in \mathcal{M}_n such that $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. Suppose moreover that we are given some functions $f_1 \in \text{Int}_b(A_1, \mathbb{R}), \dots, f_p \in \text{Int}_b(A_p, \mathbb{R})$. Consider the union $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_p$, and let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$f(\vec{x}) = \begin{cases} f_1(\vec{x}) & \text{if } \vec{x} \in A_1 \\ \dots \\ f_p(\vec{x}) & \text{if } \vec{x} \in A_p \end{cases}$$

Then $f \in \text{Int}_b(A, \mathbb{R})$ and $\int_A f = \int_{A_1} f_1 + \dots + \int_{A_p} f_p$.